

Peppersack, Jeff

From: John M Marshall <JohnMarshall@givenspursley.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 11:12 AM
To: Peppersack, Jeff
Subject: Re: Request for meeting re United Water

Ok. Thanks. I'll be there with Scott Rhead and Roger Dittus.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 19, 2010, at 10:17 AM, "Peppersack, Jeff" <Jeff.Peppersack@idwr.idaho.gov > wrote:

> John,
> I scheduled a meeting here on January 28 at 2:00.
>
> Jeff
>
> From: John M Marshall [mailto:JohnMarshall@givenspursley.com]
> Sent: Friday, January 15, 2010 2:28 PM
> To: Peppersack, Jeff
> Cc: Keen, Shelley
> Subject: RE: Request for meeting re United Water
>
> Thanks Jeff. Here are some dates and times that work for us.
> Please let me know if any of these work for you all. Jan 26 and 28
> - anytime after 10:30; Jan 27 - 10:30 to 12:30; Jan 29 all day.
>
> Thanks,
>
> John
>
> _____
> From: Peppersack, Jeff [mailto:Jeff.Peppersack@idwr.idaho.gov]
> Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2010 10:23 AM
> To: John M Marshall
> Cc: Keen, Shelley
> Subject: RE: Request for meeting re United Water John, I'd be happy to

> meet with you to discuss the issue. Please suggest a date/time so we
> can check calendars and confirm.
>
> Jeff
>
> From: John M Marshall [mailto:JohnMarshall@givenspursley.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2010 6:39 PM
> To: Peppersack, Jeff
> Cc: scott.rhead@unitedwater.com; 'Dittus, Roger'
> Subject: Request for meeting re United Water
>
> Jeff,
>
> United Water Idaho Inc. needs to drill a new well in the Eagle area,
> north of Floating Feather, and west of Linder, to satisfy near-term
> anticipated demand growth in that area. Before UWID gets any further
> in its planning for this well, we would appreciate the opportunity to
> meet with you to discuss the implications of the new Administrator's
> Memorandum, Application Processing No. 18 (Memo) relative the specific
> situation facing UWID, which is complicated by the IMAP, SRBA decrees
> and the hydrologic complexities associated with a service area that
> overlies different aquifer regions. We believe these factors make it
> impossible to apply a straightforward analysis of the Memo and would
> appreciate the opportunity to discuss with you. Is that possible?
> This is not intended to be exclude any other IDWR personnel that you
> feel should attend. I look forward to hearing from you.
>
> Thank you,
>
> John